The efficacy of acceptance and commitment therapy on distress tolerance dimensions of woman with epilepsy with three- month follow-up

1. Ezatollah Ghadampour*, 2. Leila Heidaryani, 3. Farnaz Radmehr

1. Corresponding Author: Associate Professor, lorestan university, korramabad, iran. ghadampour.e@lu.ac.ir

2. phd student, lorestan university, korramabad, iran. heidaryani.2019@gmail.com

3. phd student, Razi university, kermanshah, iran. radmehr.p@gmail.com

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to investigate was the efficacy of acceptance and commitment therapy on distress tolerance dimensions of woman with epilepsy with three- month follow-up. The research method was quasi-experimental with pre-test-post-test design and follow-up with a control group. The statistical sample consisted of 30 women with epilepsy who were selected by available and purposeful sampling method and randomly replaced in experimental and control groups. The treatment intervention was performed for the experimental group, while of the control group did not receive any intervention. At the end of the sessions, post-test and follow-up were performed three months later. The Data collection tool was Simmons and Gahr Confusion Tolerance Questionnaire. Data were analyzed using repeated measures analysis of variance. The results showed that act treatment had a significant effect on turbulence tolerance. The Applying octopus's treatment at all levels of prevention and treatment of physical patients seems essential.

Keywords: distress tolerance, Acceptance and commitment therapy, Epilepsy

Introduction

Epilepsy is the most serious neurological disorder in the world and its frequency is 3 to 5%; This neurological disorder refers to recurrent attacks that occur as a result of sudden, intermittent and excessive electrical discharge of brain neurons (Barzegari Dehj & et al., 2017). One of the most important psychological issues in patients with epilepsy is low distress tolerance. distress tolerance is perceived in different ways, in which a person is confronted with a range of negative internal states, ambiguity, uncertainty, failure, and physical discomfort (Zolensky & et al., 2010). People with low distress tolerance cannot tolerate the feeling of discomfort and feel that others have better opportunities to deal with negative emotions. As a result, they feel ashamed of their inability to cope with negative emotions. Due to the lack of perceived coping skills and the inability to manage distressing emotional states, these people work hard to avoid experiencing negative emotion, if avoidance is not possible, they resort to unhealthy methods in an attempt to address the distressing emotional state, which will ultimately disrupt their functions (Simons & et al., 2005). Given that epilepsy is a chronic disorder and is one of the most common disorders in adolescence (32% in children and adolescents), but little intervention and psychological treatment has addressed it (Johnson & et al., 2014). If the use of acceptance and commitment therapy as one of the most common and widely used methods and treatments of the third wave can be very effective for patients with epilepsy, because it has a good ability to control a person's perceptions of stressful life events (Hayes et & al., 2010). Therefore, the purpose of the present study was the efficacy of acceptance and commitment therapy on distress tolerance dimensions of woman with epilepsy with three- month follow-up.

Psychological Studies Submit Date:2018-08-12 DOI: 10.22051/psy.2019.21662.1707 https://psychstudies.alzahra.ac.ir/

Method

The choice of research method depends on the nature and purpose of the research, as well as the variables studied. Given that, the present study seeks was the efficacy of acceptance and commitment therapy on distress tolerance dimensions of woman with epilepsy with threemonth follow-up. The research method was quasi-experimental with pre-test-post-test design and quarterly follow-up with the control group. The statistical population included all women with epilepsy who referred to medical centers in Khorramabad in 2016, who were selected using the available sampling method. To this end, women with epilepsy who received a diagnosis of epilepsy were first identified and examined at existing neurology offices. After gaining their cooperation, a distress tolerance scale was distributed among them and then people from the above community who received lower than average scores were selected as a sample; Then, after matching according to demographic characteristics, 30 people were randomly selected as a sample (15 in the experimental group and 15 in the control group). The experimental group underwent two sessions of admission and commitment therapy during two 8-minute 90-minute sessions per week, and the control group did not receive any intervention during this time. Finally, both experimental and control groups completed the scale on posttest, and again three months later, followed up stages. The criteria for entering the study included: having epilepsy, having conscious consent to participate in research, the ability to participate in group therapy sessions, and so on. Exclusion criteria included: having severe mental disorders and Alzheimer's. The instrument used was Simmons et al.'s (2005) distress tolerance questionnaire which had appropriate validity and acceptable reliability (0.79). Finally, the collected data were analyzed using repeated measures analysis of variance.

Results

| Variable | Cto an | Experimental g | & Control g | Experimental g & Control g | | |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | Stage | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | |
| Age | _ | 32,83 | 7,87 | 32.21 | 7.51 | |
| | pre-test | 6.61 | 0.90 | 6.77 | 1.82 | |
| Tolerance | So the test | 8.05 | 1.75 | 7.84 | 1.23 | |
| | Follow up | 8.82 | 1.65 | 7.53 | 1.60 | |
| | pre-test | 15.57 | 1.90 | 16.01 | 2.15 | |
| Assessment | So the test | <mark>19.94</mark> | 2.72 | <mark>16.40</mark> | 2.78 | |
| | Follow up | 20.96 | 1.94 | 15.82 | 2.47 | |
| | pre-test | 6.58 | 1.37 | 6.57 | 1.47 | |
| Absorption | So the test | 8.07 | 1.59 | 7.36 | 1.78 | |
| * | Follow up | 8.22 | 1.35 | 6.97 | 1.21 | |
| | pre-test | <mark>6.91</mark> | 1.44 | 7.73 | 1.54 | |
| tuning | So the test | <mark>8.17</mark> | 1.41 | 7.06 | 1.39 | |
| - | Follow up | <mark>8.24</mark> | 1.09 | 7.15 | 1.06 | |
| Distress tolerance | pre-test | 40.75 | 3.93 | <mark>42.98</mark> | 5.83 | |
| | So the test | <mark>51.12</mark> | <mark>4.30</mark> | <mark>45.04</mark> | <mark>4.43</mark> | |
| | Follow up | 52.96 | 3.45 | 43.67 | 3.78 | |

|--|

Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation of perturbation tolerance scores and its subscales in people with epilepsy by groups and stages.

| Variables | Source of | changes | SS | Df | MS | F | P | Eta | Power |
|------------|------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | Time | <mark>56.08</mark> | 2 | 28.04 | <u>16.10</u> | 0.001 | 0.36 | 0.99 |
| | Intergroup | Time* | 11.75 | 2 | 5.88 | 3.37 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.61 |
| | | Group | | | | | | | |
| Tolerance | | Error | <mark>97.51</mark> | <mark>56</mark> | 1.74 | | | | |
| | Between | group | <mark>24.11</mark> | 1 | <mark>24.11</mark> | 5.01 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.57 |
| | groups | Error | <mark>468.70</mark> | <mark>28</mark> | 18.03 | | | | |
| | | Time | 124.63 | 2 | <mark>62.32</mark> | <mark>13.59</mark> | <mark>0.001</mark> | 0.33 | <mark>0.99</mark> |
| | Intergroup | Time* | 123.74 | 2 | <mark>61.87</mark> | <mark>13.49</mark> | 0.001 | 0.32 | <mark>0.99</mark> |
| Assessment | | Group | | | | | | | |
| | | Error | <mark>256.80</mark> | <mark>56</mark> | <mark>4.59</mark> | | | | |
| | Between | group | 170.38 | 1 | 170.39 | 22.63 | 0.001 | <mark>0.44</mark> | 0.99 |
| | groups | Error | <mark>210.78</mark> | <mark>28</mark> | 7.53 | | | | |
| | | Time | 51.29 | 2 | <mark>25.65</mark> | <mark>14.10</mark> | 0.01 | <mark>0.29</mark> | <mark>0.98</mark> |
| | Intergroup | Time* | 10.26 | 2 | 5.12 | 3.02 | 0.05 | <mark>0.10</mark> | <mark>0.59</mark> |
| Absorption | | Group | | | | | | | |
| | | Error | 94.34 | <mark>56</mark> | 1.67 | | | | |
| | Between | group | 13.87 | 1 | 13.87 | 4.75 | <mark>0.05</mark> | <mark>0.14</mark> | 0.56 |
| | groups | Error | 81.81 | 28 | 2.92 | | | | |
| | | Time | 74.32 | 2 | <mark>37.19</mark> | 15.19 | 0.01 | <mark>0.28</mark> | <mark>0.96</mark> |
| | Intergroup | Time* | 24.88 | 2 | <mark>12.44</mark> | 7.65 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.93 |
| tuning | | Group | | | | | | | |
| | | Error | 91.08 | <mark>56</mark> | 1.63 | | | | |
| | Between | group | 82.53 | 1 | <mark>82.53</mark> | <mark>16.88</mark> | 0.01 | <mark>0.30</mark> | <mark>0.98</mark> |
| | groups | Error | 97.44 | <mark>28</mark> | 6.37 | | | | |
| | | Time | <mark>860.10</mark> | 2 | <mark>430.10</mark> | <mark>35.19</mark> | 0.001 | <mark>0.56</mark> | 1 |
| | Intergroup | Time* | <mark>568.64</mark> | 2 | 284.03 | 23.24 | 0.001 | 0.45 | 1 |
| Distress | | Group | | | | | | | |
| turbulence | | Error | <u>684.53</u> | 56 | 12.22 | | | | |
| | Between | group | <mark>431.50</mark> | 1 | <mark>431.50</mark> | 13.24 | 0.01 | 0.32 | <mark>0.94</mark> |
| | groups | Error | <mark>912.45</mark> | 28 | 32.59 | | | | |

Table 2: Results of analysis of variance with repeated measurements to evaluate the effect of treatment based on acceptance and commitment on tolerance to confusion and its subscales.

According to the information in Table 2, the effect of measurement time on the distress tolerance scores and its subscales is significant, and the effect of interaction between time and group is also significant. Also, the group effect on the scores of all variables is significant.

conclusion and discussion

The results showed that the implementation of ACT treatment improved and increased the tolerance of turbulence in patients with epilepsy. Explaining the significance of ACT treatment intervention on perturbation tolerance, it can be said that ACT causes a person to evaluate negative events with a different perspective, to experience negative emotions with less intensity, the intensity of emotional reactions to them. Change and feel good about controlling their emotions, as a result, experience less discomfort and confusion. In the present study, by substituting themselves as a context, patients were able to easily experience unpleasant internal

events in the present and achieve the ability to distress tolerance. One of the limitations of the study was the lack of examination of infected men and the limited sample to patients referred to medical centers in Khorramabad. It is recommended that the study be re-tested on men and in other geographical areas.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all the loved ones who helped us in this research.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not for profit sectors

References

- Barzeghari- Dehenj, A; Jorjandi, A. R., & Hossein Sabet, F. (2017). The effectiveness of treatment based on acceptance and commitment on psychological well-being of people with epilepsy in Imam Hossein Hospital in the fall and winter of 2015. *Journal of Ilam University of Medical Sciences*, 25 (1),138-147.
- Hayes, S.C, & Strosahl, K.D. (2010). A practical guide to acceptance and commitment therapy. New York; Springer press.
- Jonson, P., Jonson, B., & Eeg-Olofsson, O. (2014). Psychological and social outcome of epilepsy in wellfunctioning children and adolescents. A 10-year follow-up study. *European Journal of Pediatric Neurology*, 18 (3): 381-390.
- Simons, J., Gaher, R.M. (2005). The distress tolerance scale: Development and validation of a self- report measure. *Motivation and Emotion*; 29(2): 83-102.
- Zvolensky, M.J, Vujanovic, A.A, Bernstein, A., & Leroy, T. (2010). Distress tolerance: Theory, measurement, and relations to psychopathology. *Current Directions in psychological Science*; 19(6): 406-10.